UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,904	10/26/2006	Volker Sauermann	11884/495701	3937
53000 KENYON & K	7590 06/15/200 ENYON LLP	9	11884/495701 3937 EXAMINER CHAO, MICHAEL W ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2442	
1500 K STREET N.W.			CHAO, MICHAEL W	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2442	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/15/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/584,904	SAUERMANN, V	OLKER
Examiner initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael Chao	2442	
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>	
(1) <u>Michael Chao</u> .	(3) <u>Robert L. Hails</u> .		
(2) <u>Andrew Caldwell</u> .	(4) <u>Shiao Min Huang</u> .		
Date of Interview: 10 June 2009	Time: <u>4 PM EST</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	int's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: Leinberger			
Claims discussed: Draft amended claim 1 (see attachment)			
Prior art documents discussed: Leinberger, Walser (US 6,031,984)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the interview, since the	e substance
/Andrew Caldwell/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2442			
(A	.pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	ppropriate)

- Shiao Min Huang provided a summary of applicants invention and explianed how applicants believe Leinberger operates.
- Robert Hails presented reasons as to why Leinberger does not teach draft claim 1 as recited in attachment.
- Examiner Chao presented results of updated search, Walser, which teaches iterating a constraint model using different starting variables. In the case of Bin Packing, job sizes and bins are the only variables, therefore performing multiple iterations of a Bin Packing process, described in Leinberger, would be obvious in view of Walser.
- Examiner does not believe draft claim 1 would overcome Leinberger, in view of Walser.
- Since the applicant was not aware of Walser prior to the interview, it was agreed that applicant would review reference and a followup interview would be scheduled prior to Thursday of the following week (6/18/2009).